

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,926	02/27/2002	Lixiao Wang	1001.2332101	4859	
28075 CROMPTON	7590 09/15/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, L	EXAMINER			
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			SEVERSON, RYAN J		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/083,926	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ryan J. Severson	3731		

	Ryan J. Severson	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliand	wit, or other evidence, vice with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		I.136(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sisset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amou nortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropri- iginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wire. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see N		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	1. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4.6-18,20-34,43,73-78 and 82-96.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (language of the content of the con	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	•	
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/R. J. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 373	31	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As an initial matter, Examiner has checked box 7b above to ensure the arguments made after-final are entered on the record. The arguments have been deemed not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant again argues that the proposed combination would not be obvious because the stiffening members would prevent the balloon portions with the blades from being collapsed. However, Examiner addressed this argument in the final rejection. The stiffening member can be made segmented (as clearly shown in Grayzel et al.). There is no claim language preventing the stiffening member from being segmented in the manner expressed in the final rejection. When the stiffening member is segmented, it would not Tretain the folding configuration as disclosed in Campbell et al. because the areas between the segments would allow the balloon to completely collapse. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.